

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/624,205 07/21/2003		Bibian J. Rendon	T9925	7049	
20451	7590 03/26/	4	EXAMINER		
GRANT R C		DAVIS, CASANDRA HOPE			
	OWARTH & CAN	ART UNIT	DADED MUMOED		
P O BOX 190	9	ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER		
SANDY, UT	84091-1909	3611			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/624,205	10/624,205		RENDON, BIBIAN J.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Cassandra	Davis	3611				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the d	over sheet with th	e correspondence ad	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event on. a reply within the statuto period will apply and will o statute, cause the applica	t, however, may a reply be bry minimum of thirty (30) expire SIX (6) MONTHS for ation to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered time rom the mailing date of this o NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. ✓ 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	ion Papers		·					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Example 1	miner.						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	. .	•	` '			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bustee the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies.	nents have been nents have been priority documen ureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicate the have been received 17.2(a)).	ation No eived in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4 3)	Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai	ary (PTO-413) l Date				
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI or No(s)/Mail Date	B/08) 5		al Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/624,205

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McKee, U.S. Patent 4,240,543. McKee teaches a document display piece comprising an open hook 4 configured to receive a handle, knob, nail etc for hanging piece and a pocket for receiving a document 12. The pocket is integrally connected to the hook and comprising a unitary member forming a first planar wall 8 and a second planar wall 6. The first planar wall 8 and the second planar wall 6 being connected only at a U-shaped fold 10 along a bottom portion of the piece, wherein the hook is formed of a rigid material such that document is supported in the pocket without deforming the hook. The first planar wall 8 is formed co-planar with the hook and the second planar wall is substantially parallel with the first planar wall. The length of the second planar wall 6 is less than a length of the first planar wall to provide a space between an end of the second planar wall and the hook and wherein piece is formed of a transparent material such that the document can be viewed through the first planar wall and the second planar wall.
- 3. With respect to claim 17-19, the method limitation are consider inherent by the teaching of McKee.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKee. McKee discloses the claimed invention except for the specific size dimensions. It would have been obvious matter of design choice to construct the dimension of the document display piece taught by McKee of any suitable size and dimension, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Luciani et al., U. S. Design Patent 429,286 is cited to show parking permit holder.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Davis whose telephone number is 703-308-2223. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cassandra Davis
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3611

CD March 18, 2004